UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/765,133	01/28/2004	Stanislas Bourdeaut	Q79492	3585
23373 SUGHRUE MI	7590 05/11/200 ON, PLLC	EXAMINER		
2100 PENNSYLVANIA AVENUE, N.W.			BRANDT, CHRISTOPHER M	
SUITE 800 WASHINGTON, DC 20037			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2617	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			05/11/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/765,133	BOURDEAUT, STANISLAS				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	CHRISTOPHER M. BRANDT	2617				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	lely filed the mailing date of this communication. (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>18 Fe</u>	ebruary 2009.					
	action is non-final.					
·						
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1 and 4-11</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1 and 4-11</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.					
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>28 January 2007</u> is/are:	10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>28 January 2007</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
	о по останов образования					
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)				
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da	ite				
Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	αιεπι Αρμιισαιιστ				

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on February 18, 2009 has been entered.

Response to Amendment

This Action is in response to applicant's amendment filed on February 18, 2009. **Claims**1 and 4-11 are now currently pending in the present application.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed February 18, 2009 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive and the examiner maintains his rejection.

With regard to applicant's argument that Hunzinger and Leppisaari fail to disclose or suggest wherein said transfer modes include the General Packet Radio Service (GPRS) mode and the Enhanced General Packet Radio Service (EGPRS) mode, the examiner respectfully disagrees. Hunzinger teaches different transfer modes when Hunzinger is discussing different data rates (paragraph 109). Leppisaari teaches that the invention is suitable for use in EGPRS (Enhanced GPRS), which is built on GPRS (page 12 lines 36-38). Therefore, Hunzinger and Leppisaari teach that the mode may include the General Packet Radio Service (GPRS) mode and the Enhanced General Packet Radio Service (EGPRS) mode.

Application/Control Number: 10/765,133 Page 3

Art Unit: 2617

With regard to applicant's argument that Leppisaari fails to teach or suggest a start sequence number (SSN) and a received block bitmap (RRB) in acknowledgement/non-acknowledgment (ACK/NACK) messages, the examiner respectfully disagrees. In previous communications, the examiner states that Leppisaari discloses that the network can receive the packet channel request sent by the wireless terminal, which comprises the bit pattern, where the bit pattern (i.e. 110101) contains the sequence number (in this case 1) and the received block bitmap (page 9 lines 7-29). As noted in the previous Office Action, this feature is taken directly from the 3GPP Technical Specification TS 44.060, however, Leppisaari also shows this feature with the example given on page 9 lines 7-14 (also see figures 4a and 4b). Therefore, Leppisaari discloses the limitation, "a start sequence number (SSN) and a received block bitmap (RRB) in acknowledgement/non-acknowledgment (ACK/NACK) messages."

As a result, the argued features are written such that they read upon the cited references.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

- 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
- 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
- 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.

Art Unit: 2617

4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

Claims 1, 4, and 6-9 are rejected under 35 USC 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hunzinger et al. (US PGPUB 2002/0172192 A1, hereinafter Hunzinger) in view of Leppisaari et al. (WO 01/20924 A1, hereinafter Leppisaari) and further in view of Pharisee (8309700 Advanced Topics in Telecommunications).

Consider claim 1. Hunzinger et al. (hereinafter Hunzinger) disclose a method of optimizing the performance of a mobile radio system in which different transfer modes correspond to different bit rates corresponding to different modulation schemes and the protocol architecture uses ARQ channels that can operate in an acknowledged mode or in a nonacknowledged mode, in which method, in a transfer mode corresponding to higher data rates, ACK or NAK messages are needed to be sent from a receiver to a sender and can be taken into account by the sender (paragraph 109, read as in CDMA, different modulation schemes are allocated for use at different data rates. The concepts of the present invention may be applied to assign multiple modulation schemes across a range of possible data rates using modulation scheme messages. Different acknowledgment delays (time for sending an acknowledgment message (ACK) or a non-acknowledgement message (NAK) after receiving a frame/slot/packet) may be assigned across a range of possible data rates. A different number of ARQ channels may be assigned across a range of possible data rates, because more ARQ channels/slots (e.g. odd and even channels) are needed to send ACK or NAK messages at higher data rates. The concepts of the present invention may be applied to assign a number of ARQ channels across a range of possible data rates using ARQ channel messages. It is also noted that Hunzinger discloses a MS (mobile station) and a BS (Base Station) read as receiver and sender).

Art Unit: 2617

Hunzinger discloses the claimed invention except he fails to explicitly use the term the radio link control layer and teach in a transfer mode corresponding to the highest bit rates, acknowledgement information is sent in the non-acknowledged made form a receiver to a sender and can be taken into account by the sender, wherein said transfer modes include the General Packet Radio Service (GPRS) mode and the Enhanced General Packet Radio Service (EGPRS) mode.

However, Leppisaari discloses the radio link control layer and teach in a transfer mode corresponding to the highest bit rates, acknowledgement information is sent in the non-acknowledged made form a receiver to a sender and can be taken into account by the sender, wherein said transfer modes include the General Packet Radio Service (GPRS) mode and the Enhanced General Packet Radio Service (EGPRS) mode (page 10 line 26 – page 11 line 12, page 12 lines 36-38, read as the bit in the RLC_MODE field is 1, indicating that the wireless terminal proposes the unacknowledged mode. The network sends the wireless terminal in response to the packet channel request a specific assignment message, wherein the network assigns radio resources to the wireless terminal establishing for the wireless terminal an open ended TBF connection, according to the proposal of the wireless terminal. Leppisaari also teaches that the invention is suitable for use in EGPRS (Enhanced GPRS), which is built on GPRS).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have incorporated the teachings of Leppisaari into the invention of Hunzinger in order to avoid constant breaks due to the establishment and release of close ended TBF connection of specific lengths (column 6 lines 16-23).

In addition, Hunzinger and Leppisaari fail to explicitly teach wherein said acknowledgment information includes a Starting Sequence Number (SSN) and a Received Block Bitmap (RBB) sent in an acknowledgement/non-acknowledgment (ACK/NACK) message.

However, Pharisee disclose wherein said acknowledgment information includes a Starting Sequence Number (SSN) and a Received Block Bitmap (RBB) sent in an acknowledgment/non-acknowledgment (ACK/NACK) message (paragraph 2 in section 6. Error Control).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have incorporated the teachings of Pharisee into the methods of Hunzinger and Leppisaari in order to determine whether a block was correctly / incorrectly received and to associate each bit with a correct element (paragraphs 2 and 3 in section 6. Error Control.

Consider **claim 4 and as applied to claim 1**. Hunzinger and Leppisaari disclose a method wherein said acknowledgment information is taken into account by an RLC sender to estimate transmission quality (Hunzinger; paragraph 58).

Consider **claims 6-8 and as applied to claim 1**. Hunzinger and Leppisaari disclose a mobile station, mobile radio system equipment, and a mobile radio system including means for implementing a method according to claim 1 (Hunzinger; paragraph 9).

Consider **claim 9 and as applied to claim 1**. Hunzinger and Leppisaari disclose wherein the non-acknowledged mode is General Packet Radio Service (GPRS) mode or Temporary Block Flow (TBF) Mode (page 10 lines 30-36).

Claim 5 is rejected under 35 USC 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hunzinger et al. (US PGPUB 2002/0172192 A1, hereinafter Hunzinger) in view of Leppisaari et al. (WO 01/20924 A1, hereinafter Leppisaari) in view of Puharinen (8309700 Advanced Topics in Telecommunications) and further in view of Balachandran et al. (US Patent 6,567,375 B2, hereinafter Balachandran).

Consider **claim 5** and as applied to claim 4. Hunzinger, Leppisaari, and Puharinen disclose the claimed invention except they fail to explicitly mention wherein said transmission quality estimate is used for radio link adaptation.

However, Balachandran et al. (hereinafter Balachandran) disclose wherein said transmission quality estimate is used for radio link adaptation (column 3 lines 48-59).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have incorporated the teachings of Balachandran into the methods of Hunzinger, Leppisaari, and Puharinen in order to be able to test the radio link and then help provide the improvements (column 3 lines 34-59).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 10-11 are rejected under 35 USC 102(b) as being anticipated by Leppisaari et al. (WO 01/20924 A1).

Consider **claim 10**. Leppisaari discloses a mobile station comprising:

Application/Control Number: 10/765,133 Page 8

Art Unit: 2617

a radio link control (RLC) transmitter which receives acknowledgment/non-acknowledgement (ACK/NACK) messages transmitted by an RLC receiver, said messages comprising a start sequence number (SSN) and a received block bitmap (RRB); and means for, in a transfer mode corresponding to Enhanced General Packet Radio Service (EGPRS), taking into account SSN and RRB information transmitted in a non-acknowledged mode (figure 4a and 4b, page 9 lines 7-14, page 10 line 26 – page 11 line 12, read as a wireless terminal can send resource requests and receives responses, wherein these packets include bit patterns (i.e. 110101), which in this case has a sequence number of 1. It is also noted that this is taken directly from the 3GPP Technical Specification TS 44.060).

Consider **claim 11**. Leppisaari discloses a mobile communication network equipment comprising:

a radio link control (RLC) transmitter which receives acknowledgment/non-acknowledgement (ACK/NACK) messages transmitted by an RLC receiver, said messages comprising a start sequence number (SSN) and a received block bitmap (RRB); and means for, in a transfer mode corresponding to Enhanced General Packet Radio Service (EGPRS), taking into account SSN and RRB information transmitted in a non-acknowledged mode (figure 4a and 4b, page 9 lines 7-14, page 10 line 26 – page 11 line 12, read as the network can receives resource requests and sends responses, wherein these packets include bit patterns (i.e. 110101), which in this case has a sequence number of 1. It is also noted that this is taken directly from the 3GPP Technical Specification TS 44.060).

Conclusion

Any response to this Office Action should be faxed to (571) 273-8300 or mailed to:

Art Unit: 2617

Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Hand-delivered responses should be brought to

Customer Service Window Randolph Building 401 Dulany Street Alexandria, VA 22314

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Christopher M. Brandt whose telephone number is (571) 270-1098. The examiner can normally be reached on 7:30a.m. to 5p.m..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, George Eng can be reached on (571) 272-7495. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/765,133 Page 10

Art Unit: 2617

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist/customer service whose telephone number is (571) 272-2600.

information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Christopher M Brandt/

Examiner, Art Unit 2617

May 6, 2009

/George Eng/

Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2617